











**REGULATION ON CHECKING WORKS FOR BORROWINGS
AND PLAGIARISM IN NJSC "NARXOZ UNIVERSITY"**

Document passport

Title of the document:	Regulations for checking works for the presence of borrowings and plagiarism in NJSC Narxoz University
Short description:	The regulation defines the procedure and rules for the functioning of the procedure for detecting and preventing plagiarism, as well as the mechanism for using the anti-plagiarism system by the structural divisions of the University
Subject:	Control
Status:	current
Approval date:	03/03/2023
Action end date:	Until cancellation
Audit date:	As needed
Audit Responsible	Provost

Approval sheet

Job title	Signature	FULL NAME.
Acting Provost / Vice Provost for Academic Affairs	 _____	S.Y. Umirzakov
Vice Provost for Science	 _____	A.S. Shenin
Director of the School of Economics and Management	 _____	D.D. Gimranova
Director of the Humanitarian School	 _____	A.K. Kushchegalinova
Director of the School of Digital Technologies	 _____	R.N. Suliyev
Acting Director of the School of Law and Public Administration	 _____	A.U. Kussainova
Director of the Scientific and Educational Department "Doctoral Studies"	 _____	S.S. Arystanbaeva
Director of the Administrative Department	 _____	L. Bissengali

1. General Provisions

1.1. This regulation on the detection and prevention of plagiarism at Narxoz University NJSC (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations) was developed on the basis of the Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan "On Education" dated July 27, 2007; Model rules for the activities of educational organizations of the relevant types and kinds (Order of the Minister of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated October 30, 2018 No. 595; State obligatory standards of higher education, registered with the Minister of Science and Higher education of the Republic of Kazakhstan on July 20, 2022 No. 2; Rules for organizing the educational process on credit technology of education (Order of the Minister of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated April 20, 2011 No. 152. Registered with the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Kazakhstan on May 27, 2011 No. 6976); Academic policy of NJSC Narxoz University.

1.2. The regulation defines the procedure and rules for the functioning of the procedure for detecting and preventing plagiarism, as well as the mechanism for using the anti-plagiarism system "Turnitin" (hereinafter - "Turnitin").

1.3. The procedure for detecting and preventing plagiarism is applied without fail to doctoral / master's dissertations (projects), diploma works (projects), scientific papers (hereinafter referred to as the "Works") of students, teachers and employees of Narxoz University NJSC (hereinafter referred to as the "University").

Also, the procedure for detecting and preventing plagiarism is applied to internal regulations, textbooks and teaching aids, developments of teaching staff and employees, to monographs of teaching staff and employees, reports of professional practices, collections, scientific articles published both in scientific journals of the University and in any other publications, if they are published with the affiliation of Narxoz University.

1.4. The need to check current and examination papers for borrowings and plagiarism is determined by the policy of the discipline/course.

1.5. Borrowing and plagiarism are defined as:

- Bad faith borrowing or plagiarism is interpreted as the representation of work/ideas taken from other sources without proper citation.
- Conscientious borrowing - the use of published work / ideas with an indication of the source and design based on a generally recognized citation style both in the text and in the bibliography.

1.6. Works, in whole or in part, performed using artificial intelligence (Chat GPT and other neural networks) are canceled.

1.7. Each teacher and employee at the University is given access to the personal account of the Turnitin system to check the work for borrowing and plagiarism in the process of teaching and research activities.

1.8. Access to the Turnitin system teachers and employees of the University is provided by the chief administrator of the system Turnitin at the University, responsible for interaction with the developer - head of the R&D department.

1.9 The Quality Assurance Commission of the School (hereinafter referred to as QAC) is responsible for checking the work of the teaching staff and employees.

1.10. Responsible for the verification of bachelor's and master's theses is the supervisor.

1.11. Responsible for checking doctoral dissertations is the senior coordinator of the R&D department.

1.12. The head of the practice is responsible for checking the reports of professional practices of students.

1.13. Responsible persons are obliged to ensure compliance with the regulations.

2. Special provisions

2.1. Student/teacher/employee submits work text in written format to Turnitin to check for borrowings and plagiarism in electronic form in the final version. The text of the work must be submitted in one of the formats supported by the Turnitin system (MS Word, WordPerfect, PostScript, PDF, HTML, RTF no larger than 100 MB).

In the name of the electronic file, you must specify the full name of the author(s) of the work and the type of work being checked (doctoral/master's dissertations (project), diploma works (project), monograph, scientific article, textbook, teaching aid, electronic textbook, essay, etc.).

The text of the work in electronic form must be identical with the paper version (in cases where it is required). The characters used for the text must belong to the corresponding alphabet, and are written in the same font, i.e., for example, Russian text characters must be written using the Russian font, Kazakh text using the Kazakh font, English text respectively using the English font and etc.

The diploma work (project), master's dissertation (project), doctoral dissertation (hereinafter referred to as "graduate work") and current, examination work, reports of professional practice (if applicable) of the student in the final version are provided by students by sending the file via the Canvas platform, within the timeline published in LMS Canvas. A joint graduation project is loaded by one of the project participants, determined by the supervisor by sending the file via LMS Canvas with Turnitin integrated.

2.2. The author of the graduate work being checked agrees to the processing and storage of his work in a digital database in the appropriate column of the LMS Canvas along with the graduate work.

When checking the current and examination papers of students, the teacher of the course/discipline determines the possibility of storing papers in the digital database of the Turnitin system by setting the appropriate settings for task parameters within the taught discipline in LMS Canvas.

2.3. A similarity report is generated for every Turnitin reviewed job, including those reviewed via LMS Canvas with Turnitin integrated. The graduate work similarity report is analyzed by the supervisor. The similarity report for other types of work is analyzed by the person responsible for checking the work for the presence of borrowings and plagiarism.

The supervisor/responsible person for checking the work for the presence of borrowings and plagiarism analyzes the full similarity report with a mandatory conclusion about the presence or absence of unscrupulous borrowing. (Appendix 5).

When checking current and exam papers through LMS Canvas with an integrated Turnitin system the teacher analyzes the similarity report by filling in the "assignment comments" field in the corresponding assignment of the taught discipline in the LMS Canvas.

2.4. The supervisor/teacher/responsible person for checking papers for borrowings and plagiarism can categorize the work in one of the following categories (Appendix 6):

- The work contains exclusively conscientious and correctly executed borrowings. The work is allowed to be evaluated / discussed / defended;
- The work contains unscrupulous borrowings that have signs of plagiarism, or deliberate distortions of the text, indicating attempts to hide unscrupulous borrowings. The work is not allowed for evaluation / discussion / defense

During the analysis of the similarity report, the supervisor / teacher / person responsible for checking the work for the presence of borrowings and plagiarism should be guided by the rules for interpreting the similarity report (Appendix 6).

The supervisor teacher / responsible person for checking the work for borrowings and plagiarism should pay special attention to the following:

- whether the work contains large fragments of text identified by the system as "likenesses";
- whether there is an excessive amount of potential borrowing from one source;
- whether there is a self-citation of the author in the work and to what extent;
- whether there are borrowings from sources through translation;

- potential borrowings and citations are framed by links to sources of data and / or materials used in the text of the work being checked.

2.5. The report of the analysis of the similarity report of the final papers must be submitted by the supervisor within two to three working days from the receipt of the similarity report by the supervisor of the EP.

The report of the analysis of the report of other types of work is provided by the responsible person to the author and the collegial body, where the issue of publication / publication of the checked work is considered, within 3-5 working days.

2.6. Based on the protocols of analysis of the report of the similarity of graduation papers the head of the EP forms a submission indicating the works admitted and not admitted for defense to the School Quality Assurance Commission, then the QAC of the School transfers the data to the Registrar's Office to prepare an order for the admission of students to the defense of graduation papers.

2.7. In case of disagreement with the decision of the supervisor/responsible person for checking papers for borrowings and plagiarism, the author of the paper being checked has the right to appeal to the Chairman of the Commission for Quality Assurance of Narxoz University School within 1 (one) business day from the date of receipt of the decision.

2.8. At the end of the academic year, the Schools carry out the post-moderation procedure based on the decision of the QAC.

2.9. Schools have the right to conduct post-moderation at any time based on the decision of the School's QAC.

2.10. Post-moderation is carried out by the method of random sampling of final qualifying works. The results of post-moderation, together with the decision of the QAC of the School, are sent to the Academic Quality Committee under the Academic Council for decision-making.

2.11. All issues related to the procedure for taking measures that are not provided for in these Regulations are resolved by the President / Provost in accordance with the regulatory documents governing the activities of higher educational institutions in the Republic of Kazakhstan.

3. The procedure for checking theses (diploma works (projects)) for borrowings and plagiarism

3.1. The student uploads the diploma work (project) of the latest edition, which has passed the preliminary defense and normative control procedure based on the EP, through LMS Canvas with the integrated Turnitin system, at least 10 working days before the appointed defense deadline (in accordance with the approved Academic calendar for the academic year). The electronic version of the work is accompanied by a scanned copy of the signed application for consent to the processing and storage of the work in a digital database, in accordance with the Regulations on checking works for borrowings and plagiarism (Appendix 2).

3.2. After uploading a diploma work (project) by a student to the Turnitin system via LMS Canvas within the 3 working days, the supervisor analyzes the generated similarity report, fills in the analysis protocol (Appendix 5) and makes one of the decisions provided for in clause 2.4 of these Regulations.

3.3. The decision of the supervisor, reflected in the similarity report analysis protocol, is transferred to the head of the EP, on the basis of which the School Quality Assurance Commission forms an idea of admission / non-admission to protection for transmission to the Registrar's Office.

3.4. Schools have the right to conduct post-moderation of diploma works (projects) on the basis of the decision of the QAC of the School in accordance with clause 2.9. and clause 2.10.

3.5. In case of disagreement with the decision of the supervisor or the head of the EP, the student has the right to file an appeal addressed to the chairman of the QAC within 1 working day after receiving this decision.

3.6. Based on the results of the consideration of the appeal, one of the following decisions can be taken by the QAC (Appendix 6):

- an appeal is satisfied and the diploma project (work) is sent for defense;

- The appeal is not satisfied and the defense of the graduation project (work) is transferred to the next period of the final state certification, while a clarification is made about the preservation of the topic or a new theme of the graduation project (work) is determined.

4. The procedure for checking master's dissertations (projects) for borrowings and plagiarism

4.1 The undergraduate uploads the latest version of the master's dissertation (project) that has passed the preliminary defense and normative control procedure based on the EP, via LMS Canvas with the integrated Turnitin system, at least 10 working days before the appointed defense deadline (in accordance with the approved Academic calendar for the academic year). The electronic version of the work is accompanied by a scanned copy of the signed application for consent to the processing and storage of the work in a digital database, in accordance with the Regulations on checking works for borrowings and plagiarism (Appendix 2).

4.2 After uploading the master's dissertation (project) to the Turnitin system via LMS Canvas, within the next 2-3 working days, the supervisor analyzes the generated similarity report, fills in the analysis protocol (Appendix 5) and makes one of the decisions provided for in clause 2.4. of these Regulations.

4.3 The decision of the supervisor, reflected in the similarity report analysis protocol, is transferred to the head of the EP, on the basis of which the School Quality Assurance Commission forms an idea of admission / non-admission to protection for transmission to the Registrar's Office.

4.4 Schools are eligible for post-moderation their master's dissertations (projects) on the basis of the decision of the QAC School in accordance with clause 2.9. and clause 2.10.

4.5 The master student, in case of disagreement with the decision of the supervisor, has the right to file an appeal addressed to the chairman of the QAC within 1 working day after receiving this decision.

4.6 After the receipt of the appeal and the analysis of the work, one of the following actions is possible (Appendix 6):

- The appeal is satisfied and the master's thesis (project) is sent for defense;
- The appeal is not satisfied and the defense of the master's thesis (project) is postponed to the next period of the final state certification, while a clarification is made about the preservation of the topic or a new topic of the master's thesis (project) is determined.

5. The procedure for checking doctoral dissertations for borrowings and plagiarism

5.1. A doctoral student at least 10 working days before the preliminary examination of a doctoral dissertation (pre-defense) at a meeting of the University Research Committee with the participation of members of the Academic Program in the direction of dissertation research, submits the text of the doctoral dissertation, signed by the supervisor, to the senior coordinator of the research department for checking for borrowings and plagiarism in written and electronic forms in the final version.

5.2. The senior coordinator of the R&D department compares the printed and electronic versions of the doctoral dissertation. If the texts are identical notes this in the protocol (Appendix 7) and uploads the work to the Turnitin system to check for borrowings.

In the event that differences are found between the texts, the Senior Coordinator of the Research Department fills out the appropriate protocol (Appendix 8) and the work is returned to the doctoral student for elimination of violations. The doctoral student is obliged to provide identical versions of the work to the system operator within two working days.

5.3. After confirming the identity of the written and electronic versions of the doctoral dissertation, the Senior Research Coordinator uploads the text of the work to the Turnitin system.

In the Turnitin system a similarity report is generated, which the Senior Coordinator of the Research Department sends to the scientific supervisor and director of the SED "Doctoral Studies" (hereinafter referred to as the "Doctoral Studies Department") within no more than one working day from the moment of its generation.

Uploading a doctoral dissertation, downloading a similarity report and sending the report to the supervisor and director of the Doctoral Department must be completed within no more than 3 working days.

5.4. The supervisor analyzes the similarity report, fills in the analysis protocol (Appendix 5) within 5 working days.

5.5. Based on the results of the analysis, the supervisor makes one of the following decisions (Appendix 6):

- The work contains exclusively conscientious and correctly executed borrowings, it is allowed for protection;
- The work contains unscrupulous borrowings that have signs of plagiarism, or deliberate distortions of the text, indicating attempts to hide unscrupulous borrowings. Work is not allowed for protection.

5.6. To finalize a doctoral dissertation, the terms are not regulated and completely depend on the doctoral candidate. After finalizing the dissertation and eliminating formal errors by the doctoral candidate, the procedure for checking for borrowings is repeated.

5.7. If a doctoral dissertation was not completed at Narxoz University (i.e., at another university), but is submitted for defense to the Dissertation Councils of the University, then the procedure for preventing and detecting plagiarism of a doctoral dissertation is carried out starting from clause 5.6. of section 5 of these Regulations.

6. The procedure for checking current and examination papers for borrowing and plagiarism

6.1. Works that are subject to checking for borrowings and plagiarism within the framework of current assignments and examination sessions are checked using LMS Canvas with an integrated Turnitin system.

In this case, the teacher sets the appropriate settings when organizing a course (discipline) in the LMS Canvas.

To check the current and examination papers, the student uploads the file via LMS Canvas within the time limits set by the teacher and reflected in the syllabus of the course (discipline).

6.2. After a student uploads a work to Turnitin through LMS Canvas, the teacher analyzes the generated similarity report, and makes one of the following decisions regarding the student's work in accordance with clause 2.4. of this Regulation, which is reflected in the "task comments" field of the corresponding task within the discipline under study in LMS Canvas, indicating the rationale

6.3 In the event that revision is necessary (if allowed by course policy), the student may correct the work before the deadline for submitting the assignment within the course (discipline).

6.4. In case of disagreement with the teacher's decision on non-admission to assessment, the student has the right to file an appeal to the chairman of the QAC within 1 working day after receiving this decision.

6.5 Based on the outcome of the appeal, QAC may take one of the following decisions (Appendix 6):

- and the appeal is satisfied, and the work is allowed for evaluation;
- and the appeal is not satisfied, and the work is not allowed for evaluation.

7. The procedure for checking textbooks, teaching aids and monographs for teaching staff and employees for the presence of borrowings and plagiarism

7.1. For consideration of a textbook, teaching aid or monographs in the collective bodies of the University (EMC REMC, Academic Council) and the author is obliged to provide the text of the work to the administrator of the Turnitin system at the University in electronic form in the final version at least 15 working days before the next meeting of the collective body of the University, at which it will be considered recommendation of the teaching aid for publication.

7.2. Textbooks, teaching aids and monographs, before being submitted to the Academic Council of the University, must pass a successful discussion in the QAC of the School where the author works, and the research committee.

7.3. The author electronically fills out an application (Appendix 3) for the processing and storage of his work in a digital database and also submits it to the administrator of the Turnitin system.

7.4. Turnitin System Administrator uploads the job to the Turnitin system to check for borrowings and plagiarism, and submits the similarity report to the responsible secretary to the chairman of the EMC REMC / Academic Council within no more than one working day from the moment it is generated.

Downloading the textbook (teaching manual), downloading the similarity report and sending the report to the responsible secretary and chairman of the EMC REMC / Academic Council must be completed within no more than 3 working days.

7.5. The executive secretary and the chairman of the EMC REMC / Academic Council jointly make one of the following decisions (Appendix 6):

- The work contains only conscientious and correctly executed borrowings, and is allowed for discussion at a meeting of the collective body.
- The work contains formal errors regarding the formatting of quotations, or an excessive amount of bona fide borrowing is found, and although these do not have signs of plagiarism, they raise doubts about the value of the content of the work. The work is sent for revision (if applicable).
- The work contains unscrupulous borrowings that have signs of plagiarism, or deliberate distortions of the text, indicating attempts to hide unscrupulous borrowings. The work is not allowed to be discussed at a meeting of the collective body.

If necessary, the author finalizes the textbook (educational manual, monograph), after which he can re-pass the procedure for checking for borrowings and plagiarism. With a positive decision, the textbook (teaching aid, monograph) is recommended for discussion at a meeting of the collective body.

7.6. The author of the textbook / teaching aid / monograph in case of disagreement with the decision of the responsible Secretary and Chairman of the EMC REMC / Academic Council has the right to file an appeal addressed to the Chairman of the Research Ethics Committee within 1 working day after receiving this decision to the Research Ethics Committee.

7.7. Following an appeal, the Research Ethics Committee may take one of the following decisions:

- The appeal is satisfied and the textbook / teaching aid / monograph is sent for consideration and discussion at a meeting of the collective body.
- The appeal is not satisfied and the textbook / teaching aid / monograph is rejected or sent for revision.

8. The procedure for checking reports of students' professional practice for the presence of borrowings and plagiarism

8.1. Students' professional practice reports to be checked for borrowing and plagiarism are checked using LMS Canvas with an integrated Turnitin system.

To check the current and examination papers, the student uploads the file via LMS Canvas within the time limits corresponding to the approved Academic calendar for the academic year.

8.2. After students upload their work to Turnitin through the LMS Canvas, the head of professional practice analyzes the generated similarity report, and makes one of the following decisions (Appendix 6) regarding the student's work, which is reflected in the "assignment comments" field of the corresponding assignment within the discipline under study in the LMS Canvas, indicating the rationale:

- The work contains only conscientious and correctly executed borrowings, it is allowed for evaluation.
- The work contains unscrupulous borrowings that have signs of plagiarism, or deliberate distortions of the text, indicating attempts to hide unscrupulous borrowings. Work is not allowed for evaluation.

The work is allowed to be checked for borrowings and plagiarism only once.

8.3. The student, in case of disagreement with the decision of the head of professional practice, has the right to file an appeal addressed to the chairman of the Academic Quality Committee within 1 working day after receiving this decision.

8.4 Based on the outcome of the appeal, QAC may take one of the following decisions (Appendix 6):

- an appeal is satisfied, and the report is allowed for evaluation.
- an appeal is not satisfied, and the report is not allowed for evaluation.

9. Final provisions

9.1. These Regulations are approved by the decision of the Academic Council of the University.

9.2. Changes and additions to these Regulations are made by the decision of the Academic Council of the University at the suggestion of the Academic Quality Committee.

9.3. The term of this Regulation is not limited. The operation of the Regulations may be suspended or terminated by a decision of the Academic Council of the University.

9.4. From the moment of approval of this Regulation, the validity of _____ approved by _____ is terminated .


Developer:



A.S. Shenin

Vice-Provost for Research

Document check mark:



G.O. Abyzova

Head of office work and archive department

Sample application of a teacher / employee of Narxoz University , confirming familiarity with the Regulations on the detection and prevention of plagiarism

STATEMENT

I confirm that I have read the Regulations for the Detection and Prevention of Plagiarism in force at NJSC Narxoz University, approved by the Academic Council of _____ Protocol No. _____, and undertake to comply with it.

FULL NAME. and the position of the teacher / employee (with the obligatory indication of the name of the EP / structural unit):

.....

.....

Date Signature

Student application form

STUDENT STATEMENT

I certify that I have been informed of the rules regarding self-testing. Based on the foregoing, I declare that I consent to the processing of my work in order to carry out the procedure for detecting and preventing plagiarism, as well as to store it in the digital database of the system.

FULL NAME of the student

.....
.....

Year

Speciality.....

FULL NAME and the position of the supervisor (*with the obligatory indication of the name of the SP*)

.....

I declare that my work ".....

(title of work)

.....
.....
.....";

a. was done by me on my own;

b. does not violate copyright in accordance with the Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan "On Copyright and Related Rights";

c. does not contain data and information that was obtained by me in an unlawful way.

In addition, I declare that the text of the work submitted by me for processing is identical to its written version.

.....

Date Signature

Sample Teacher/Employee Application

TEACHER/STAFF STATEMENT

I certify that I have been informed of the rules regarding self-testing. Based on the foregoing, I declare that I consent to the processing of my work in order to carry out the procedure for detecting and preventing plagiarism, as well as to store it in the digital database of the system.

FULL NAME and the position of the teacher / employee (*with the obligatory indication of the name of the EP / structural unit*)

.....

I declare that my work ".....

.....

(title of work)

.....

.....

.....";

a. was done by me on my own;

b. does not violate copyright in accordance with the Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan "On Copyright and Related Rights";

c. does not contain data and information that was obtained by me in an unlawful way.

In addition, I declare that the text of the work submitted by me for processing is identical to its written version.

.....

Date Signature

Student application form

STUDENT STATEMENT

I certify that I have been informed of the rules regarding self-testing. Based on the foregoing, I declare that I consent to the processing of my works in order to carry out the procedure for detecting and preventing plagiarism, as well as to store it in the digital database of the system.

FULL NAME of the student

.....

.....

Year

Educational Program.....

Discipline

FULL NAME and the position of the teacher (*with the obligatory indication of the name of the EP*)

.....

.....

.....

Date Signature

A sample protocol for the analysis of the similarity report, which is filled out by the supervisor / responsible person for checking the work for the presence of borrowings and plagiarism

Similarity Report Analysis Protocol

I confirm that I have read the full similarity report that was generated by the plagiarism detection and prevention system in relation to the work:

Author(s):

.....

Job title:

.....

Score :

Alert:

.....

After analyzing the similarity report, I state the following:

- The work contains exclusively conscientious and correctly executed borrowings, it is allowed for protection / publication.
- The work contains unscrupulous borrowings that have signs of plagiarism, or deliberate distortions of the text, indicating attempts to hide unscrupulous borrowings. The work is not allowed for protection / publication.

Rationale:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....
FULL NAME and position of the person analyzing the similarity report (*with the obligatory indication of the name of the EP*)

.....
.....

.....

date

Signature

Similarity Report Interpretation Rules¹**What is similarity?**

Turnitin system does not check the text for plagiarism. Turnitin system compares the uploaded work with the existing database and Internet resources. When finding text matches with existing sources in the database and Internet resources, the Turnitin system marks such fragments for the possibility of analysis and evaluation of the work being checked.

The presence of coincidences of certain parts of the work being checked is a natural phenomenon. If the author of the work uses citations and correctly cites the source and formats it, these instances will show up as matches. The similarity score highlights any problem areas in the work being reviewed. This data is needed to determine if a match is acceptable or not.

Match Source

In the Turnitin system each match found has its own source. Source is the location where a particular match was found. This could be a web page, the work of an author, or a published magazine. Sources are listed numerically in the analytics panel, and there can be multiple matches for each source. Selecting one of the sources will display information such as the number of matches found for that particular source and the location of each match on the corresponding web page, author's work, or journal.

Similarity score ranges

Similarity reports provide information about the match or high similarity of text found in a submitted work. When the similarity report is viewable, the similarity (similarity) score percentage will also be available. Similarity reports that have not yet been completed are represented by a gray icon in the similarity column. Unavailable reports are reports that may not have been generated yet, or those for which the job settings may delay report generation.

Grading Scenarios**Example 1.**

The student may have submitted work to Turnitin in the past. If his name is included in the submission, it is possible that, unless you rule out small matches, his name will be included in the similarity report.

¹<https://help.turnitin.com/ru/feedback-studio/%D0%BF%D0%B5%D0%B4%D0%B0%D0%B3%D0%BE%D0%B3%D0%BE%D0%B2/%D0%98%D0%BD%D1%82%D0%B5%D1%80%D0%BF%D1%80%D0%B5%D1%82%D0%B0%D1%86%D0%B8%D1%8F-%D0%BE%D1%82%D1%87%D0%B5%D1%82%D0%B0-%D0%BE-%D0%BF%D0%BE%D0%B4%D0%BE%D0%B1%D0%B8%D0%B8.html>

Example 2.

The student may have used Turnitin to submit drafts of the same paper, causing the final draft to score 100%.

Example 3.

The student copied and pasted part of the text into his work because he does not understand the topic he is studying enough. In this case, the similarity score will be 20%. In comparison, another student who has a solid knowledge base for a similar task and understands enough to gather information from multiple sources, cite it, and correctly identify the origin of such information would have a similarity score of 22%. Both student papers will show matches against our database. However, one of these students copied information directly from the website, while another provided citations with proper attribution.

Example 4.

The student managed to obtain a copy of another student's work. He submits this work to Turnitin on October 15th and receives a 25% similarity score. The student who originally wrote this paper submits it to Turnitin a week later and receives a 100% similarity score.

Example 5.

The student submitted a qualitative study to Turnitin with many citations and an extensive bibliography in line with the topic of the paper. His work receives a similarity score of 53%. Based on the purpose of the study, the teacher can make an appropriate decision about the presence or absence of plagiarism, while evaluating the quality of the work, taking into account the large number of quotations and conscientious borrowing.

Sample protocol for accepting work by the system operator and confirming the identity of the written and electronic versions

Protocol for acceptance of work by the system operator and confirmation of the identity of the written and electronic versions

Author:

.....

Job title:

Scientific supervisor:

Responsible person for verification:

.....

Job upload date:

Division:

Document type:

Result of checking:

- *Work in the written version is identical to the electronic version*

Number of pages:

Page numbers assigned for comparison:.....

.....

date

*Signature of the
Responsible person*

Sample protocol for accepting work by the system operator in case of failure to confirm the identity of the written and electronic versions

Protocol for accepting work by the system operator in case of failure to confirm the identity of the written and electronic versions

Author:
.....

Job title:

Scientific supervisor:

Job upload date:

Division:

Document type:

Result of checking:

- *Work in the written version is not identical to the electronic version*

Number of pages:

Page numbers assigned for comparison:.....

.....

date

*Signature of the
Responsible person*

^[1] <https://clck.ru/gknLN>